

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510
New York, New York 10165
Telephone: (212) 317-1200
Facsimile: (212) 317-1620
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
ROMAULDO ALVAREZ, *individually and on
behalf of others similarly situated,*

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

SLIM DELI CORP. (D/B/A SLIM DELI
CORP) and YAHYA FARAA,

**COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER
29 U.S.C. § 216(b)**

ECF Case

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff Romauldo Alvarez (“Plaintiff Alvarez” or “Mr. Alvarez”), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against Slim Deli Corp. (d/b/a Slim Deli Corp), (“Defendant Corporation”) and Yahya Faraa, (“Individual Defendant”), (collectively, “Defendants”), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff Alvarez is a former employee of Defendants Slim Deli Corp. (d/b/a Slim Deli Corp) and Yahya Faraa.
2. Defendants own, operate, or control a deli, located at 114-21 Jamaica Ave., Richmond Hill, NY 11418 under the name “Slim Deli Corp”.

3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendant Yahya Faraa, serve or served as owner, manager, principal, or agent of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operates or operated the deli as a joint or unified enterprise.

4. Plaintiff Alvarez was employed as a grill worker at the deli located at 114-21 Jamaica Ave., Richmond Hill, NY 11418.

5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Alvarez worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate minimum wage, overtime, and spread of hours compensation for the hours that he worked.

6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked and failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.

7. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez the required “spread of hours” pay for any day in which he had to work over 10 hours a day.

8. Furthermore, Defendants repeatedly failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez wages on a timely basis.

9. Defendants’ conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Alvarez to all other similarly situated employees.

10. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Alvarez and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.

11. Plaintiff Alvarez now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards

Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* (“FLSA”), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 *et seq.* and 650 *et seq.* (the “NYLL”), and the “spread of hours” and overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (herein the “Spread of Hours Wage Order”), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys’ fees and costs.

12. Plaintiff Alvarez seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Alvarez’s state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

14. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a deli located in this district.

15. Further, Plaintiff Alvarez was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

16. Plaintiff Romauldo Alvarez (“Plaintiff Alvarez” or “Mr. Alvarez”) is an adult individual residing in Queens County, New York.

17. Plaintiff Alvarez was employed by Defendants at Slim Deli Corp from approximately 2013 until on or about January 2017 and then from approximately July 2019 until on or about February 19, 2020.

18. Plaintiff Alvarez consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

19. At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, or controlled a deli, located at 114-21 Jamaica Ave., Richmond Hill, NY 11418 under the name “Slim Deli Corp”.

20. Upon information and belief, Slim Deli Corp. (d/b/a Slim Deli Corp) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 114-21 Jamaica Ave., Richmond Hill, NY 11418.

21. Defendant Yahya Faraa is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Yahya Faraa is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Yahya Faraa possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Alvarez, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

22. Defendants operate a deli located in the Richmond Hill section of Queens in New York City.

23. Individual Defendant, Yahya Faraa, possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, possesses ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation.

24. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.

25. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Alvarez's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Alvarez, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

26. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Alvarez (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Alvarez's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.

27. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Alvarez and/or similarly situated individuals.

28. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant Yahya Faraa operates Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of himself and/or fails to operate Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from himself, by among other things:

- a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,

- b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation, by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records,
- c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
- d) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit as the sole or majority shareholder,
- e) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
- f) intermingling assets and debts of his own with Defendant Corporation,
- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect his own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

29. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Alvarez's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Alvarez, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Alvarez's services.

30. In each year from 2015 to 2017 and from 2019 to 2020, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the deli on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

32. Plaintiff Alvarez is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as a grill worker.

33. Plaintiff Alvarez seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Romauldo Alvarez

34. Plaintiff Alvarez was employed by Defendants from approximately 2013 until on or about January 2017 and then from approximately July 2019 until on or about February 19, 2020.

35. Defendants employed Plaintiff Alvarez as a grill worker.

36. Plaintiff Alvarez regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as food and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.

37. Plaintiff Alvarez's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

38. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Alvarez regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

39. From approximately 2015 until on or about January 2017 and then from approximately July 2019 until on or about February 19, 2020., Plaintiff Alvarez worked from approximately 6:00 a.m. until on or about 6:00 p.m., 7 days a week (typically 84 hours per week).

40. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Alvarez his wages in cash.

41. From approximately May 2015 until on or about January 2017 and then from approximately July 2019 until on or about February 19, 2020., Defendants paid Plaintiff Alvarez a fixed salary of \$600 per week.

42. For approximately one week, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Alvarez any wages for his work.

43. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Alvarez any breaks or meal periods of any kind.

44. Plaintiff Alvarez was not required to keep track of his time; however, the Defendants utilized a notebook system that did not accurately reflect his actual hours worked.

45. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Alvarez regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.

46. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Alvarez an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

47. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Alvarez, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Alvarez's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

48. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Alvarez (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying him appropriate minimum wage, spread of hours pay, and overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.

49. Plaintiff Alvarez was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.

50. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff Alvarez not receiving payment for all his hours worked, and resulted in Plaintiff Alvarez's effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.

51. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

52. Defendants paid Plaintiff Alvarez his wages in cash.

53. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.

54. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Alvarez (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Alvarez properly for his full hours worked.

55. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.

56. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Alvarez and other similarly situated former workers.

57. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Alvarez and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).

58. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Alvarez and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

59. Plaintiff Alvarez brings his FLSA minimum wage, overtime compensation, and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").

60. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Alvarez and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA, and willfully failing to keep records under the FLSA.

61. The claims of Plaintiff Alvarez stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

62. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

63. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Alvarez's employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class Members), controlled the terms and conditions of their employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.

64. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

65. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).

66. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

67. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

68. Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

69. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

70. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.

71. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

72. Plaintiff Alvarez (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE ACT

73. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

74. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Alvarez's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Alvarez, controlled the terms and conditions of his employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for his employment.

75. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiff Alvarez less than the minimum wage.

76. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Alvarez the minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

77. Plaintiff Alvarez was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

78. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

79. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.

80. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Alvarez overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

81. Plaintiff Alvarez was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER

OF THE NEW YORK COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

82. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

83. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Alvarez one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff Alvarez's spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 146-1.6.

84. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Alvarez an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Alvarez's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.

85. Plaintiff Alvarez was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

86. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

87. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Alvarez with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Alvarez's primary language), containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).

88. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Alvarez in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

89. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

90. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Alvarez with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the

regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).

91. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Alvarez in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE TIMELY PAYMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

92. Plaintiff Alvarez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

93. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Alvarez on a regular weekly basis, in violation of NYLL §191.

94. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Alvarez in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Alvarez respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

(a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;

(b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members;

(c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members;

(d) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Alvarez's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

(e) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members;

(f) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;

(g) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

(h) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Alvarez;

(i) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Alvarez;

(j) Declaring that Defendants violated the spread-of-hours requirements of the NYLL and supporting regulations as to Plaintiff Alvarez;

(k) Declaring that Defendants violated the timely payment provisions of the NYLL as to Plaintiff Alvarez;

(l) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Alvarez's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

(m) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL and spread of hours wage order were willful as to Plaintiff Alvarez;

(n) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable

(o) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);

(p) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage, overtime compensation, and spread of hours pay shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);

(q) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;

(r) Awarding Plaintiff Alvarez and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;

(s) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal

is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and

(t) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Alvarez demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York

May 7, 2021

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael Faillace
Michael Faillace [MF-8436]
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510
New York, New York 10165
Telephone: (212) 317-1200
Facsimile: (212) 317-1620
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510
New York, New York 10165

Telephone: (212) 317-1200
Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

April 29, 2021

BY HAND

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff.

(Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre: Romualdo Alvarez

Legal Representative / Abogado: Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

Romualdo

Date / Fecha:

29 de april 2021